CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S.D.C. -Gainesville

AUG 1 2014

(Enider	ter abov ntification	ve the fi on num	hnson #//2 ull name and priso ber of the plaintif risoner.)	oner				S N. HATTEN, Clerk
			-VS-					
		•	Board of Commi	•		2:14-C	٧-	173
<u>Iom</u> Unk (Ent	wilson nown fi er abov	n;Form fory th e the fu	er Sheriff Ted Lounty Sheriff Ill name of the de	Paxton; Supubies, efendant(s).)	etzl.			
I.			awsuits					··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	A.	Hav	•	×) No		e incarcerated in a	ny ins	titution?
	В.	more		it, describe th		n the space below. suits on another pi		
		1.	Parties to this	previous law	vsuit:			
			Plaintiff(s):	Due to see atta	lack of add	equate space,	oleas mplet	e te
			Defendant(s):	informat	tion on all	privious laws	uit.	history.
		2.	Court (name th	he district):				
		3.	Docket Number	er:				

Rev. 12/5/07

I.	Pro	vious Lawsuits (Cont'd)					
	4. Name of judge to whom case was assigned:						
		5. Did the previous case involve the same facts?					
		Yes () No ()					
		6. Disposition (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?):					
		7. Approximate date of filing lawsuit:					
		8. Approximate date of disposition:					
II.	Purs cour reme the 6	austion of Administrative Remedies uant to 28 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), no prisoner civil rights action shall be brought in federal tuntil all available administrative remedies are exhausted. Exhaustion of administrative dies is a precondition to suit, and the prisoner plaintiff must establish that he has exhausted in the institutional grievance procedure in order to state a claim for relief. Place of Present Confinement: Ware State Prison					
	В.	re a prisoner grievance procedure in this institution?					
		Yes (×) No ()					
	C.	Did you present the facts relating to your complaint under the institution's grievance procedure?					
		Yes () No (×)					
	D.	If your answer is YES: 1. What steps did you take and what were the results?					
		2. If your answer is NO, explain why not: These issues occurred at the Forsyth County Detention Center (F.C.D.C.					

Rev. 12/5/07

A.	Name of Plaintiff: Ricky J. Johnson #1/24/29
71.	Name of Familie.
	Address(es): Ware State Prison, 3620 Harris Rd., Waycross,
	Greorgia, 31501
positi	em B below, place the full name of the defendant in the first blank, his/her official on in the second blank, and his/her place of employment in the third blank. Do the for each additional defendant, if any.)
В.	Defendant(s): Forsyth County Ed of Commiss: Major Tom Wilson: Tormer Sheriff Ted Paxton; Unknown Forsyth County Sheriffs Deputies
	Employees deputies
	at 110 E. Main St. Suite 210 Cumming Gia. 30040 (Bd. of Commis)
	at 110 E. Main St., Suite 210 Cumming, 612., 30040 (Bd. of Commiss) 204 Veterans Mimorial Dr., Cumming, G12., 30040 (F.C.S.O. Deputies Minus)
	nent of Claim
	here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendar ed. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give
legal a claims	arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of relation, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. (Use as much space as
neea. This s	Attach extra sheets if necessary.) wit was previously initiated & Liled on May 10.2012. On February 5.
2014	this Pourt dismissed the suit due to Plaintiff failure to adequately all previous lawsuits. (without prejudice) Case No.: 2:12 - CV - 00108 - 1
list .	all previous lawsuits. Without perjudice) Case No. 2:12-CV-00108-1
	tiff has sent to Defendants a Notice of Intent to Refile on February
Plain 27. 3	

IV. Statement of Claim (Cont'd)	
This suit seeks redress for damages suffered by Plaintiff due to the	ie_
acts & omissions of the Defendants resulting from violations of his	
Federally protected rights of Due Process, Free Speech, Access to	
the Courts, Right to counsel, From weel & unusual punishment, From	,
illegal seizure of his property quaranteed by the United State	<u></u>
Constitution Amendments 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, \$ 14.	<u> </u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
First Claim.	<u> </u>
Plaintiff was convicted in Hall County Superior Court of Comm.	vecial
burglary and theft by receiving on July 15, 2008 and was sentenced to a	_
twenty years with ten to serve in the Greege Dept. of Cornetions.	
Plaintiff subrequently attempted to withdraw his quilty plea &	
to also appeal the denial of that motion to the Fra. Court of Appeals.	<u> </u>
Plaintiff was pro se in those proceedings until July 2010 - & again - Co	ntinaed

V. Relief

State briefly exactly what you want the Court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.

Please see attached pages 6-14

First Claim. By denying Plaintiff access to a law library or legal research material Defendants have caused him substantial damages due to his inability to withdraw his quilty plea in Hall lounty; access the performance of appointed counsel, among other things - including the filing of the petition for vertication to the Greegie Supreme Court. Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages of \$250,000°;

V.	Relief (Cont'd)	
tor o	diberately violating a court order and its own policy in a	inxing
Plain	iff access to the law library Plaintiff seeks punitive do	mages of
dn a	Iditional \$250,000 00 an amount determined at jury to	val;
Pla	ntiff also seeks injunctive relief in the form of an o	order
rego	iring F.C.D.C. to allow access to the law library to	Plaintiff
<u> </u>	his return to F.C.D.C. for metion for new trial 3,	onding
ch	rges.	
	* CLAIMS FOR RELIEF CONTINUED ON P	Pages 14-15*
Sign	d this 14 day of Ju/y	20 <u>/4</u> .
	Signature of Plaintiff) M
TATE OF OUNTY (CITY) OF ware	
declare und	er penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
EXECUTE	ON 7/14/14 (Date)	
Rick ignature of	Plainting	
//		

(See, Exhibit A")

from March, 2012 to present. In the intervening years Plaintiff has been transferred from the custody of Department of Corrections (hereinafter D.O.C) to Fourth County Sheriffs Office Chereinafter F. C.S.O.) for resolution of outstanding charges. A F.C.S.O. has a policy that prohibits immates access to a law library or legal research material unless they obtain a court order. Plaintiff obtained a court order in 2008 and another in 2009. Despite having these orders Plaintiff was repeatedly refused access to the law library. As a result Plaintiff pro se motion to withdraw his quilty ples was denied, his appeal was denied, and his patition for writ of certioneri was denied as well. In short, by denying Plaintiff access to a law library / legal research material, he was unable to adequately challenge his Hall County conviction. Had Plaintiff been permitted access to the law library there is a reasonable likelihood that he would have prevailed in those proceedings because on March 13, 2014 Plaintiff successfully raised & "Boykin" violation in a habeas corpus proceeding in Ware Superior Court case number 13-V-0804. Plaintiff notes that on a few occasions in 2009 he was permitted to use the computer at the F. C.D.C. but only after midnight and as late as 2:00 Am. The Defendants informed Plaintiff upon his many transfers to F. C.D.C. that the Court

order allowing law library access was no longer valid after leaving the jail & being returned in justifying denying access to the law library. However, this rule is not part of the policies & procedures, nor does the Order contain an expiration date. A copy of that Order is attached as Exhibit "A" at page 18. A copy of the 2008 order will be provided once obtained). These court orders notwithstanding, Plaintiff submits that the denial of access to the law library or legal research material hinders an accused citizens ability to access the courts in relation to issues involving the performance of his counsel, ability to assist in his definse, determine whether his conditions of confinement meet normally accepted standards. Plaintiff notes that in Georgia, publicly appointed counsel is not required to challenge a clients conditions of confinement claims.

Plaintiff submits that the failure to provide access to pro se defindants trying to appeal their convictions a law library / legal research material is a policy by Defindants to simply save money & serves no pencalogical purpose, and is violative of Plaintiffs 5th 6th & 14th Amendment Rights guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution.

Second Claim.

On January 15, 2011 Plaintiff was returned to F.C.D.C. to appear in court for a hearing on a "Plea In Bar." The trial court continued that hearing to allow Plaintiff and his counsel to review facts and evidence obtained by Plaintiff that would establish his innocence to the pending outstanding charges of Commercial burglary theft by receiving stolen property. This evidence consisted of receipts, bills of sale, and affidavits of ownership regarding the alleged Stolen property in question. After court was adjourned, Plaintiff was returned to F.C.D.C. Upon arrival a unknown deputy removed from Plaintiff the two large envelopes containing this evidence for the alleged "purpose of searching it for contraband. Plaintiff objected to this seizure & requested its return, over the course of two weeks. (The time of the continuouse). Plaintiff went back to court and his attorney Mr. Rex Mc Clinton, complained to the court that despite his efforts Forsyth County deputies refused to release these documents and evidence. The trial court issued an Order on February 9, 2011 directing the F. C.S.O. to "immediately locate, using any and all methods required including but not limited to

review of videotape records, and return to Mr. Johnson any & all such materials so taken... That order is attached as Exhibit "B" at page 19. F.C. S.O. deputies lemployees failed to comply with this order. At a subsequent hearing deputies & employees of F.C. S.O provided testimony confirming that the legal material was in fact removed from Plaintiff & placed in his property, but could not locate it, nor account for it. The deputies surmised that the material was given to a Hall County Sheriffs Office transport officer (F.N.U) Howard, but Officer Howard denied ever seeing it, nor did he sign for it, as is the normal practice in receiving inmate property.

Plaintiff submits that F.C.S.O. deputies & employee's know or should know that such material is to be returned to a defendant after a cursory examination upon return from court. That is the regular practice. The seizure & "loss" of Plaintiff evidence was clearly deliberate & intentional. Its inhumant value to the defense of the criminal charges was obvious & would have exominated me. Instead, the Plea in Bar was denied & the case remains pending. Foreyth County Superior Court case no: 08-ce-0609. Plaintiffs ability to defend from these charges is now crippled, & Submits that had

Defindants provided adequate facilities for storing and accounting for inmates property as well as adequate training for the deputies ? employees in the handling of accused citizens seized property, particularly in the form of evidence is legal material particular to the definse, there is a reasonable likelihood that not only would the material not get "lost", but would not have been seized at all.

These acts & omissions of the Defendants have caused Plaintiff irreparable harm and violate his 1 st 4th 5th 6th & 14th Amendment Rights guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution.

Third Claim.

The F.C.S.O. has instituted a post card only rule that sequires all correspondence to and from the facility from family if friends (presumably others) to be on a 3x5 postered. No stamps or envelopes are sold via the jail commissary. Plaintiff submits that correspondence from his family if friends has been returned as "undeliverable" when the normal practice is to place it in the inmates property. Some of this returned mail included legal

outside the fail concurs about misteratment by fail stated actions of officers. Inmotes should be able to express to persons to complain about various events transpiring at the fail Equistionable Also, this policy has a chilling effect on Plaintiffs ability enish to express private or intimate thoughts & feelings. Innatural Rights of Paintiff, themone & well, who or safe operation of the facility. The policy also violates the 1st 2.7 zerestni lesipolesniq pre à biteles ten 21 1815 quasiosnes Elu medie, or to his religious mintois. This policy is a form of himself to his loved ones, or to correspond freely with members of on his 1st Amendment Right to freedom of speech ! to express Insmiprinter as si policy sold that the policy is an interingenent 25 a wainer of that privilege.

a string in the series of the series of the solution of not providing access to a strint policy of the solution of strint policy of material series and material home for copying (while in custody of mongra 0.0.0.) I have it mailed home for copying (while in custody of mongra 0.0.0.) I have it mailed beconside the attorney clink privilege, as back. This policy further implicates the attorney clink privilege, as

Without concern that letters to that effect are being consored.

Plaintiff further submits that due to this policy his access to the Georgia Sepreme Court for petition for writ of certionari was also hindered, & the only reason it was filed at all was because my 75 year old mother had to come to the jail, pick up the petition, copy it seven times, (Gra. Supreme It. Rules require seven copies) and then travel to the post office for mailing.

Where it not for my mother it would not have getten filed-at all.

The post card only policy of the F.C.S.O. has resulted in violations of Plaintiffs 1 to 4 to 5 to 5 to 6 to 1 14 to 4 mendment.

Rights quaranteed by the U.S. Constitution.

REQUEST TO SUPPLEMENT CLAIMS

Prior to the Court dismissing whout prejudice the initial suit,

Plaintiff was preparing to file a supplemental complaint for

retalitory actions taken by F.C.S.O. deputies. Those actions

include: (3) Deliberate indifference to a serious medical need;

- (b) Placing Plaintiff in Max Isolation for reason's not provided for in Classification procedures
- (c) False charges of disciplinary misconduct by deputies & being denied a fair hearing resulting in loss of privileges.
- (d) Subjected to cruel & unusual punishment (i.e. placed in Max

 Isolation for over 90 days with no recreation, sunlight, fresh

 air; 24 hour a day lighting 7 days per week) This is not

 an exhaustive list.

Plaintiff is a layman with no formal legal training. While it is true that he is <u>slowly</u> grasping how to competently present his claims, he is unsure how to proceed under the present circumstances. That is, the refiling of this suit.

Instruction from the Court on the issue of presenting these additional claims, existent resorting to filing a seperate action would be helpful & avoid unnecessary litigation. Thus, Plaintiff

request's permission to supplement this complaint to include these additional claims.

RELIEF REQUESTED - Continued

Second Claim. Plaintiff submits that due to Defindants

actions he is now unable to prove his innovence at trial or to make any
valid claim to the property seized from him by F.C.S.O. which form the
basis of the criminal charges outstanding against him. F.C.S.O. has
estimated the value of that property at \$105,000 °. Therefore,
Plaintiff is seeking Compensatory damages of \$105,000 ° and
punitive damages in the amount of \$315,000 °.

Third Claim. For infringing & hindering Plaintiffs First

Amendment Right Plaintiff is seeking compensatory and punitive damages to be determined by a jury; Plaintiff further seeks injunctive relief in the form of an Order to end the post card only policy of F.C.D.C.

Plaintiff further requests 2 jury trial to resolve these claims and also permission to supplement his complaint to include the retaliation of F.C.S.O. deputies listed on pages 12-13 parts (2), (b), (c), \$\frac{1}{2}\$ (d) as well as additional damages for those claims.

Plaintiff also requests any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

Ricky J. Johnson # 1124129

7	-PREVIOUS LAWSUIT HISTORY- (Cont.)
	Federal Actions pursuant to 42 U.S. C. \$1983 violations
	of Civil Rights by government officials under color
	of low.
1.)	Ricky J. Johnson v. Forsyth County Bd of Commirs., et al
	Civil Action No. 2:12-CV-00108-RWS-JCF
	Judge (5): Richard W. Story & Magistrate James C. Fuller
	Filed: May 9, 2012; Status: Pending
	Conditions of confinement; Access to courts claims
-	
2.)	Johnson v. Hall County Bd. of Commiss, Steve Cronic, et al.,
	2:10-CV-164-WCO; Judge William C. O'Kelly; Filed: 8-24-2010)
	Dismissed: July 11,2011; Conditions of confinement
3.)	Johnson V. Greenville County, et al., 0:06-CV-3247-HFF
	Filed District of South Carolina. November 17, 2006
	Dismissed on summary judgement March 18, 2008 for lack of
	prosecution; Judge: Connot remember; Conditions of confinement
.()	
4.)	Johnson v. Aberevombie, et al., 1:04-EV-3461-WSD
	Filed: N.D. Gra. November 22, 2004; Dismissed January 31, 2005
	Judge: Connot remember; Malicious prosecution

PREVIOUS	LAWSUIT	HISTORY	(cont.)

- 5.) Johnson v. Trifilo, 4:11-CV-114-HLM; Filed: May 2, 2011.
 Dismissed: Aug. 1, 2011.; Judge: Never knew; False Arrest
- 6.) 1983 Action filed in Eastern District of Wisconsin have no further information on this case; conditions of confinements
 - 7.) This action was previously filed in this Court. Case No. 2:12-cv-0108-RWS; Judge Richard W. Story; Dismissed Wlout prejudice on February 5, 2014

STATE ALTIONS

- Ricky J. Johnson V. Glen Johnson, Warden, 13-V-0804; Habeal Corpus; Ware Superior Court; Wayeross, Gz; Judge Clavence Blount; Pending
 - Ricky J. Johnson y. Connic L. Brooker, et 2/, 14-CV-235-2;
 Mandamus; Foryth County Superior Ct.; Comming, Gra.; Judge unknown; Voluntarily withdrawn.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

Case No.: Unassigned Ricky J. Johnson, #1124129 Title 42 U.S.C. 1983 Plaintiff, pro se Civil Rights Complaint Forsyth County Bed of Commiss, et al

Certificate of Service

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing 1983 civil action complaint has been sent via certified mail to Defindants counsel at: Williams, Mouris & Waymire, L.L.C. Suite A, Building 400, 4330 South Lee St., Buford, Georgia, 30518, Attn. Joson C. Waymire and also to Chairman, Foryth Country Board of Commiss. It 110 E. Main St., Suite 210 Cumming, Gaz, 30040; Shiriff Office Foryth County, 204 Veterans Alemonial Dr., Cumming, GAZ., 30040 Dated: 7- 14-14

Submitted by,

Richy J. Jelmon-Richy Tobboson

Ware State Prison, 3620 Harris Rd., Wayeross, Ga., 3150/